

Risk Assessment - Production Applications

Application Name _____

Bureau/Division _____

Person(s) Completing _____

| Factor # | Rating Factor | Weight | x | Score | = | Assigned Score | Explanatory Comments |
|----------|--|--------|---|-----------------------|---|----------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Effect of System Failure (Criticality) No immediate effect Inconvenience to Users Loss of Goodwill Loss of Revenue Loss of revenue/goodwill (political implications) | 5 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 25 | |
| 2. | Financial Exposure (Annual) None Small (<\$100,000) Moderate (\$100,000 - \$1m) High (\$1m - \$10 m) Very High (>\$10m) | 5 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 25 | |
| 3. | Scope of the System (Who It Is Used By) Part of a Bureau/Division Complete Bureau/Division (w or w/o external pieces) Treasury-wide Statewide (Multi-Departmental) Statewide and External | 2 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 10 | |
| 4. | Age of the Application (from initial implementation) 2 to 4 years 4 - 6 years 6 - 8 years 1 - 2 years or 8 to 10 years Less than 1 year or Over 10 years | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 5. | Age of the Application (from last major enhancement) 2 to 4 years 4 - 6 years 6 - 8 years 1 - 2 years or 8 to 10 years Less than 1 year or Over 10 years | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 6. | Prior Audit Findings (By OAG, IA or External Audit) Recent Audit (0 - 2 years) - No Weaknesses Recent Audit (0 - 2 years) - Minor Weaknesses Audit - Some Weaknesses Audit - Many Weaknesses No Previous Audit | 2 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 10 | |
| 7. | Size of the Application (number of programs) Below 25 25 - 50 50 - 100 100 - 250 Above 250 | 2 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 10 | |
| 8. | Changes in Environment/staff No changes Moderate changes/low turnover Significant changes/low turnover High turnover Significant changes and high turnover | 2 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 10 | |
| 9. | Number of locations (physical, not workstations) 1 2 3 4 5+ | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 10. | Online vs. Manual Processing Entirely manual processing Mostly manual processing Half manual and half automated processing Mostly automated processing Entirely automated processing | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |

| Factor # | Rating Factor | Weight | x | Score | = | Assigned Score | Explanatory Comments |
|----------|--|--------|---|-----------------------|---|----------------|----------------------|
| 11. | Interfaces (Input Sources) No interfacing systems 1 to 2 input sources 3 to 5 input sources 6 to 10 input sources >10 input sources | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 12. | Media Types (Input) Paper only Combination of paper and electronic Electronic only Magnetic media Multi-media | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 13. | Regulatory Environment (State) No State Regulations Low State Regulations Moderate State Regulations Some State Regulations Very High State Regulation | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 14. | Regulatory Environment (Federal) No Federal Regulations Low Federal Regulations Moderate Federal Regulations Some Federal Regulations Very High Federal Regulation | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 15. | Number of Users 1 - 100 101-250 251-500 501-1000 1000+ | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| 16. | Inherent Fraud Risk (Environment) None Low Moderate High Fraud inherent in this environment | 3 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 15 | |
| 17. | Prior Fraud Occurrences None 1 2 3 4+ | 4 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 20 | |
| 18. | Number of Transactions Processed Annually <500,000 500,000 - 1,500,000 1,500,000 - 3,000,000 3,000,000 - 5,000,000 >5,000,000 | 3 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 15 | |
| 19. | Complexity of Application Simple Many programs but little complexity Medium complexity Few programs but much complexity Many complex programs or subsystems | 2 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 10 | |
| 20. | Output Distribution Risk Paper reporting only Paper and electronic reporting only Data is distributed over a network Sensitive information distribution Web distribution | 1 | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | Max. 5 | |
| | Total risk score | | | | | | (Max. 200) |